

# Temperature Control in Polystyrene Polymerization Reactor by Using Neural Network Model Predictive Algorithm.

E. Etike , G. Özkan\*, and H. Hapoglu

Department of Chemical Engineering, Engineering Faculty, Ankara University, 06500, Ankara, Turkey

\*E-mail: gozkan@eng.ankara.edu.tr

Manuscript Received online 10/26/2020, Accepted 11/13/2020

Theoretical and experimental temperature control of styrene polymerization in a batch process is searched. In MATLAB/Simulink, heat signal is introduced to the model and reactor temperature change is recorded. An artificial neural network (NN) model was built with output changes of the disturbing process in the face of the pseudo-random binary sequence (PRBS) heat input. The neural network model predictive control algorithm is applied to maintain the desired temperature profile. Experimental control of the reactor temperature at the optimal temperature profile with the neural network model predictive algorithm was achieved successfully. Theoretical and experimental NN models utilized are compared and the representation ability of the NN models was shown.

Keywords: neural network model, predictive control, polymerization, identification, online application

# Introduction

In the petrochemical industries, polymerization control has importance. To develop a detailed mechanistic model-based control algorithm may not be feasible. Modelling techniques based upon data might be preferable<sup>1</sup>. The NN approach and its training techniques have been developed in the wide sprite. The backpropagation network all neurons except the ones in the input layer are related with a bias neuron and a transfer function. These transfer functions can be linear or nonlinear. According to the purpose of the neural network the design and application of these transfer functions may differ. The computed output vectors are produced by the output layer<sup>2</sup>. Without actually modelling the physical and chemical laws, what happens in the process might be learnt employing neural network. Thus, they are for the understanding of the limited phenomenon<sup>3,4</sup>. Off-line training must be necessary for the neural networks as time limitation is considered to achieve

prediction of all possible process conditions. The weights used in the network should be adapted continuously for learning about new process events in real-time. This makes the network effect for on-line process control<sup>5</sup>. A neural network model-based predictive control applied to a laboratory-scaled multivariable chemical reactor was reported by Yu and Gomm<sup>6</sup>.

To meet ever-stricter product quality, the desired trajectories should follow by controlled variables. Errors in charging the process specifications to enhance the process safety management and more efficient use of materials and energy lead to batch-to-batch variations<sup>7</sup>. Increased profitability can be obtained by employing an efficient monitoring and control system. The online control system must be capable of quickly identifying any abnormal process behavior so that corrective actions could be taken<sup>8</sup>.

With increasing the desired temperature in polymerization, dispersion

range of product molecular weight increases. The polymerization rate is also affected by medium temperature changes. Control of polymerization rate during radical polymerization is important for obtaining constant polymer quality with a certain range of molecular weight<sup>9</sup>.

In this work, a predictive control algorithm was developed based on experimental data taken from a real polystyrene reactor. Neural network model predictive temperature control application to a polystyrene reactor was achieved.

#### Models and Methods

The batch jacketed polymerization reactor with the assumptions of the standard free-radical polymerization, constant density, no chain transfer, no gel effect, the quasisteady-state approximation for live radicals are modelled in Simulink. Optimization of the batch jacketed polymerization reactor concerning optimal temperature in minimum time is also modelled in Simulink.

Open-loop dynamic results at different optimal experimental conditions are given in Table 1. Where I<sub>0</sub>,  $M_0$ ,  $M_n$ ,  $m^*$ , T, tf (s) are initial initiator concentration (mole/I), initial monomer concentration (mole/I), numerical average molecular weight, target monomer conversion, temperature (<sup>0</sup>C), and reaction time respectively.

NN-MPC is implemented to track the temperature of the polymerization reactor. Neural Network methodology of model identification is applied for the polymerization reactor. The control algorithm is implemented using the NN toolbox in MATLAB. The controller is shown as an independent block and the process is simulated as an analytic model.

A model predictive controller has good performance with a prediction horizon and small control-weighting factor. In Figure 1, input changes versus time is given. Figures 2 and 3 show the response of temperature controllers as plant and NN outputs to set point changes. The error is determined in the range of  $\pm$  0.03. All parameters utilized are given in Table 2 and 3.



Table 1. Dynamic and Theoretical NNMPC							
result in two different optimal operating							
	conditions						
1 Work	0ptimum 560'9=0W 260'9=0W 260'9=0M	Dynamic	Theoretical -NNMPC				
2	l₀=0.0125 tf(s)=7620 Mn =5200 m*=0.5	Mn=3384 9 m*=0.33	Mn*=45814 m*=0.45				
L	$M_0=6,092$ T=92 $I_0=0.0150$ tf(s)=10200 Mn =5200 m*=0.5	Mn=3020 3 m*=0.36	Mn*=43096 m*=0.48				

Theoretical NNMPC simulating result for numerical average molecular weight values at optimal operating conditions is also given in Table 1. The reactor temperature desirably tracks the optimal temperature trajectory and this provides good numerical average molecular weight values. The neural network model was applied to the related system<sup>9</sup>. To identify the system, Pseudo-Random Binary Sequence type of heat input was introduced to the reactor and the reactor temperature was measured.

The neural network was trained with the response data obtained from the reactor and the model representing the polymer reactor was built. The neural model had five inputs and an output. The activation function was taken to be tansig(x), Inputs (Ti-1, Ti-2, Ti-3, Ui-1, Ui-2), Target (Ti). Two neurons were found in the hidden layer of the artificial neural network. The open-loop response of the experimental artificial neural network model, the theoretical

NN model and an analytical model is in good agreement with maximum error of 2.5°C.

-						
Table 2. Parameters for N	N model and NN					
MPC	MPC					
Size of hidden layer	2					
Sampling interval	2 s					
Delayed Plant Inputs	2					
Delayed Plant Outputs	2					
Training Samples.	5000					
Maximum Plant Input	70 Cal/s					
Minimum Plant Input	0 Cal/s					
Train epochs	200					
Train function	Levenberg-					
	Marquardt					
Activation function	tansig(x)					
Cost horizon (N <sub>2</sub> )	2					
Control horizon (N <sub>u</sub> )	2					
Control weighting factor	0.01					
(α)	0.01					
Search parameter (a)	0.001					
Iterations Per Sample	2					
Time	۷					

Neural Network Model Predictive Control System used a neural network model for the prediction of a step ahead. The control process was achieved with linear optimization based on the cost function. The cost function to be minimized is given as

$$J(u,t) =$$
(1)
$$E\left[\sum_{\substack{j=N_{1} \\ j=N_{1}}}^{N_{2}} (w(t+j) - y(t+j))^{2} + \alpha \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j=1}}^{N_{u}} (\Box u(t+j-1))^{2}\right]$$

Where  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$ ,  $N_u$ , are minimum, maximum costing horizons and control costing respectively.

The MPC tuning parameters were set<sup>10</sup>. The MPC criterion is optimized employing the gradient descent optimization technique. One-step-ahead optimization is shown as follows:

 $J = [w(t+1) - y_m(t+1)]^2 + \alpha [(\Box u(t)]^2$ (2)

In Equation 2 above, as  $\alpha$ >0. The control weight factor,  $\Delta u(t) = u(t) - u(t-1)$  and  $y_m(t+1)$  is the output of a step forward ahead in the artificial neural network. To bring the controller,  $y_m(t+1)$ , a value in a step to the required value, w(t+1), may require very much effort. For the good reconciliation between the control and the changes in the control effort in the optimization of the cost function,  $\alpha \neq 0$  was assumed.

Having ensured that the artificial neural network model has been formed, the optimization of the cost function was realized using the three steps non-linear dynamic model shown below

$$u(t) = u(t-1) - \frac{L}{1+\alpha L}e(t+1)\frac{\partial e(t+1)}{\partial u(t)}$$
(3)

Where L>0 is the optimizing step and  $e(t+1)=w(t+1)-y_m(t+1)$ . It has been proved that a three-layer feed-forward neural network has the capability of universal function approximation.

The formed artificial neural network model is given in Equation 4 as:

$$y_m(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{H} v_i s(.)$$
(4)

 $\boldsymbol{v}$  is the weight. s(.) is represented as follows

$$s(.) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{ij} y_m (t-j+1) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} v_{i,n+1} u(t-j+1)$$
 (5)

The sensitivity  $\partial e(t+1)/ \partial u(t)$  is derived from the neural network model as follows:

$$\frac{\partial e(t+1)}{\partial u(t)} = -\sum_{i=1}^{H} v_i s'(.) v_{i,n+1}$$
(6)

The mathematical model description of the artificial neural network obtained upon substituting the calculated weight and bias values is given Equations 7-9.

$$N_{1} = v_{11}T_{i-1} + v_{12}T_{i-1} + v_{13}T_{i-3} + v_{14}U_{i-1} + v_{15}U_{i-2} + b1$$
(7)
$$N_{2} = v_{21}T_{i-1} + v_{22}T_{i-1} + v_{23}T_{i-3} + v_{24}U_{i-1} + v_{25}U_{i-2} + b2$$
(8)

$$T_{i} = v_{1} \left(\frac{2}{1 + \exp(-2N_{1})} - 1\right) + v_{2} \left(\frac{2}{1 + \exp(-2N_{2})} - 1\right) + b$$

(9)

The weight and bias values (Table 3.) for the trained artificial neural network were taken and the algorithm for the predicted artificial neural network control was written in visual basic programming.

#### **Results and discussion**

The following experiments were performed to verify the sensitivity of the control systems and calculate the control and system parameters. The parameters like weighting factor, sampling interval, the number of iterations within a sampling interval were determined using the trial and error method. Typical set point tracking results are shown in Figure 4. Settling time of response with ( $\lambda$  / (1+ $\alpha\lambda$ )) =5 was found to be much shorter than the one obtained with ( $\lambda$  / (1+ $\alpha\lambda$ )) =0.1. The best values of the set of tuning parameters for the NNMPC method were selected and also the most suitable value of the parameter was taken (( $\lambda$  / (1+ $\alpha\lambda$ )) =5.

This group of parameters value was used to carry out the required experiments.

Table 3. The weights and bias values of the							
NN for the polymer experimental system							
IW{1,1}	LW{2,1}	b{1}	b{2}				
	-71.0043	1.3569	95.5491				
-0.020209							
0.0081864							
-0.001663							
-0.009824							
0.0039121							
	-0.50827	-88.402					
3.5582							
-40.5218							
35.4169							
9.2695							
0.50177							

Since the controlled variables were chosen as the reaction temperature the control was, therefore, aimed at making the reaction occur at the optimal temperature profile. When the reactor solvent medium was at the steadystate condition with definite values of heating and cooling flow rate, benzoyl peroxide was added. Furthermore, the temperature, being an exothermic property of the reaction, increased and the NNMPC algorithm was applied to control the reactor temperature at the optimal trajectory.

In the control of the polystyrene reactor, NNMPC Algorithms which was written in a visual basic programming language was used and the most appropriate NN architecture was obtained by using 2 neurons in the hidden layers. The parameters, weighting factor, sampling interval, the number of iterations within a sampling interval were chosen by utilizing trial and error technique. The best method of the training of NN was selected as the Levenberg-Marguardt algorithm and used in the control step. Many data which were used in the training were collected using the



Fig. 4. The response of temperature controller to set point changes

experimental system. To obtain the polymer with the desired characteristics, the temperature control of the reactor was carried out over the optimum temperature profile by NNMPC algorithm and the results of the control are presented in Figure 5. PID control result for  $I_0$ =0.00125 is given in Figure 6. PID parameters were found using Cohen- Coon method as K<sub>c</sub> =18.55,  $T_I$ = 2.428,  $T_D$  = 0.361. Where K<sub>c</sub>,  $T_I$ ,  $T_D$  represents controller gain, derivative time, and integral time respectively. In comparison with NNMPC control result, the

fluctuations occurred are more rapid and large. So, NNMPC controller for tracking temperature path is more effective than the PID controller.

Table 4. Experimental NNMPC and           Experimental PID for two different optimal						
operating conditions.						
Exp. number	Optimum Parameters	Experimental NNMPC	Experimental PID			
2	M <sub>0</sub> =6,092 T=97 I <sub>0</sub> =0.0125 tf(s)=7620 Mn =5200 m*=0.5	Mn=51739 m*=0.63	Mn*=3842 8 m*=0.44			
	M <sub>0</sub> =6,092 T=92 I <sub>0</sub> =0.0150 tf(s)=1020 0 Mn =5200 m*=0.5	Mn=56215 m*=0.66	Mn=50299 m*=0.65			

Numerical Average Molecular Weight determined from the experiment NNMPC, PID is given in Table 4. As can be seen from Figure 6, the reactor temperature closely follows the optimal temperature trajectory without exhibiting significant fluctuations, and this provides to obtain molecular weights showed a very high tendency of approaching the controlled one. That is to say that, the targeted polymer in this work, which was the one with the numerical average molecular weight of 52000 g/mole, has been approximately achieved. As can be seen from Table 4, Numerical Average Molecular Weight in the NNMPC system is better than the one in the PID controller.



Fig. 6. Temperature PID control profile (Io=0.0125 mol/l)

# Conclusions

Experimental and theoretical implementation of NNMPC algorithm was achieved successfully to track the temperature on a predetermined trajectory in a batch

polymerization reactor. The results obtained from the Neural Network Model Predictive Control were found very satisfactory by comparing with the experimental results of the work carried out by Özkan et al<sup>11</sup>. In the cases studied, the temperature control with the NNMPC algorithm was performed better than the one obtained using the PID controller.

# Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by TÜBİTAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) under Project No: 107M638.

# References

- 1. Y. Tian, J. Zhang, J. Morris, *Chemical Engineering and Processing*, 2002, **41**, 531-538.
- 2. G. Özkan, L. Uçan, G. Özkan G, Neural Computing and Applications, 2010, 1-9.
- S. Curteanu, C. Petrila, International Journal of Quantum Chemistry, 2006, 106, 1445-1456.
- 4. T. Mete, G. Ozkan, H. Hapoglu, M. Alpbaz, *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, 2012, **19**, 1-10.

- 5. S. T. Deniz, P. Özkan, G. Özkan, *Dental Materials Journal*, 2019, **38**, 1012-1018.
- D. L. Yu, J. B. Gomm, Control Engineering Practice, 2003, 11, 1315-1323.
- 7. P. Nomikos, J. F. Macgregor, *AICHE*, 1994, **40**, 1361-1375.
- S. G. Kulkarni, A. K. Chaudhary, S. Nandi, S. Tambe, S. Kulkarni, *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 2004, 18, 193-210.
- 9. G. Özkan, O. Tekin, H. Hapoglu, *Korean Journal Chemical Engineering*, 2009, **26**, 1201-1207.
- E. Etike, Application of model based control based on artificial neural network to a polymerization reactor, Ankara University Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, 2009, 1-79.
- 11. D. W. Clarke, C. Mohtadi, and P. S. Tuffs, *Automatica*, 1987, **23**, 137–148.
- G. Ozkan, H. Hapoglu, M. Alpbaz, Applied Thermal Engineering, 2006, 26(7), 720-726.